UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the Eastern District of Michigan

United States of America)	
v.)	Case No. 22-30200
Eddric Lemontre McDougal		Case 140. 22-30200
Defendant)	

)	Case No. 22-30200
Eddric Lemontre McDougal	
Defendant)	
ORDER OF DETENTION	PENDING TRIAL
Part I - Eligibility fo	r Detention
Upon the	
Motion of the Government attorney pursuant to 1	8 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or
Motion of the Government or Court's own motion	n pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2),
the Court held a detention hearing and found that detention is warn and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i), in add	
Part II - Findings of Fact and Law as to	Presumptions under § 3142(e)
A. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 31 presumption that no condition or combination of conditions and the community because the following conditions have be	will reasonably assure the safety of any other person
(1) the defendant is charged with one of the following	g crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1):
(a) a crime of violence, a violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of	§ 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. f imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or
(b) an offense for which the maximum sentence	e is life imprisonment or death; or
(c) an offense for which a maximum term of im Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-9 (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title	04), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act
(a) through (c) of this paragraph, or two or more	d of two or more offenses described in subparagraphs e State or local offenses that would have been offenses is paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal ch offenses; or
(e) any felony that is not otherwise a crime of v(i) a minor victim; (ii) the possession of a firear(iii) any other dangerous weapon; or (iv) a failu	m or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921);
(2) the defendant has previously been convicted of a § 3142(f)(1), or of a State or local offense that would to Federal jurisdiction had existed; <i>and</i>	Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C. have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise
(3) the offense described in paragraph (2) above for v committed while the defendant was on release pending	
(4) a period of not more than five years has elapsed s defendant from imprisonment, for the offense describ	

B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant committed one or more of the following offenses:		
(1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);		
(2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;		
(3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed;		
(4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or		
(5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.		
C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above		
The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above. OR		
The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.		
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention		
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:		
By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.		
By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.		
In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:		
✓ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong		
Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted		
✓ Prior criminal history		
✓ Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision		
✓ Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision✓ History of violence or use of weapons		
History of violence or use of weapons History of alcohol or substance abuse Lack of stable employment		
History of violence or use of weapons History of alcohol or substance abuse		
History of violence or use of weapons History of alcohol or substance abuse Lack of stable employment		

Case 4:22-mj-30200-CI ECF No. 7, PageID.16 Filed 05/03/22 Page 3 of 3

AO 472 (Rev. 09/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial

Significant family or other ties outside the United States
Lack of legal status in the United States
Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration
Prior failure to appear in court as ordered
Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement
Use of alias(es) or false documents
Background information unknown or unverified
Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release

OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION:

The Court's findings and reasons for ordering detention, including its consideration of the factors listed in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g), were stated on the record at the May 3, 2022 hearing and are fully incorporated by this reference. At that time, the Court found by clear and convincing evidence that there is no condition or combination of conditions which will reasonably ensure the safety of the community. This evidence was discussed on the record in support of the Court's reasoning, and includes, but is not limited to evidence that: Defendant's criminal history reflects a history related to firearm use/possession; (2) Defendant was on supervision at the time of the instant offense; (3) Defendant allegedly discharged a firearm at the victim in the related case, (4) it is alleged Defendant has been involved with witness tampering, and (5) there is a recommendation from the pretrial service department that there are no conditions or combination of conditions that could reasonably ensure the safety of the community if he was released.

Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Date: May 3, 2022	s/Curtis Ivy, Jr.
	Judge's Signature
	Curtis Ivy, Jr., U.S. Magistrate Judge
	Name and Title